## NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, ACTING PRESIDING OFFICER

FINANCE COMMITTEE

RICHARD NICOLELLO, CHAIRMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

October 7, 2013 1:53 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

## APPEARANCES:

RICHARD NICOLELLO Chairman

VINCENT MUSCARELLA Vice-Chair

ROSE MARIE WALKER

MICHAEL VENDITTO

DAVID DENENBERG Ranking

JUDI BOSWORTH

DELIA DeRIGGI-WHITTON

WILLIAM J. MULLER III, Clerk

## LIST OF SPEAKERS

GREG	MA	Υ		•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•							11
JOHN	BU	JTL]	ER.	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
THOM	AS	KR	UMP'	ΓEF	₹.	•				•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•			16
ROSEA	ANN	IE ]	D <b>'</b> A:	LLE	CVA	Α.	•			•	•	•		•	•	•							43
																				-			

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13	4
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Mr. Muller, would	
3	you call the roll, please?	
4	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-	
5	Whitton?	
6	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?	
7	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.	
8	CLERK MULLER: Ranking Member	
9	Denenberg?	
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.	
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Venditto?	
12	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Here.	
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?	
14	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.	
15	CLERK MULLER: Vice Chair Muscarella?	
16	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.	
17	CLERK MULLER: Chairman Nicolello?	
18	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Here.	
19	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.	
20	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: First four items	
21	all relate to the budget, the four-year plan,	
22	ordinance fixing tax rates, adoption of the	
23	budget and the legislative budget. I'm going to	
24	call these but we are going to be having hearings	;
25	on these items this Wednesday, the 9th at one	

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator Muscarella, seconded by Legislator Walker.

25 Legislator Denenberg.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you. So, in the interest of time, it's our understanding that we'll save questions to the full legislative hearings, which might be over different days, for Public Safety as well as for Parks. The Minority Leader, Kevan Abrahams, will make more of a statement at Rules.

On the budget items, with the exception of the legislative budget, at this point myself and my colleagues are voting against these items because, just briefly, we believe that the tax cert backlog now would be put over \$350 million, there continues to be reliance on a toilet tax that's fictitious and won't ever come to pass, there's continued reliance on dumping tax certs onto local districts; in the meantime, the multiyear plan has over \$350 million worth of liability building up in tax certs, that's more than three times than it was just a couple of years ago. There is no appropriation for any proposed PBA or other union deals, which would make it almost impossible to be able to work out any kind of a payment without - where there's no money in the budget for lifting wage freezes.

There is excessive reliance on borrowing.

And again, police overtime now budgeted at 50 million in 2014, when police overtime in 2013's coming in at over 63 million.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I thought we were just teeing this up. If we want to get into a debate on the budget, we can get into a debate.

I mean, we've done this for 18 years now and the parties have basically cooperatively agreed to tee things up to move them along and to have the full hearings. You're getting into --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: In Finance - sorry. Let me finish. In Finance and Rules the ranking member always made a statement.

Finally, the budget makes no appropriations for legal judgments or settlements.

So, at this time we're going to reserve our questions. But my caucus is voting no.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We're voting yes on the legislative budget item.

 $\label{eq:chairman nicolello: We'll separate} % \begin{center} \$ 

County of Nassau for the 12-month 2014 fiscal

24

25

year.

11	
1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	Any public comment?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	All in favor signify by saying aye.
5	(Aye.)
6	412-2013 passes unanimously.
7	Items 422, 423, 424, and $425-2013$ -
8	actually, hold on a second. I'm going to call
9	Item 422-2013 by itself. It's a resolution to
10	authorize expansion of appropriations heretofore
11	made within the budget for the year 2013.
12	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
15	Walker, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
16	I understand there's an amendment in the
17	nature of a substitution, so we need to amend
18	this.
19	Do we have a motion to amend?
20	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.
21	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
23	Muscarella, seconded by Legislator Walker.
24	Discussion on the amendment. The
25	amendment to this item corrects the funds

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	disbursed to the contractual expenses and general
3	expenses lines from \$539,370 and \$143,000 to
4	\$464,370 and \$218,000, respectively.
5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What was the
6	mistake, just the amount?
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: The amount.
8	Any other questions, comments?
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And this is our -
10	may I ask a question, through the Chair?
11	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes.
12	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you. Mr.
13	May, this is our annual required expenditure for
14	station maintenance?
15	MR. MAY: Mr. John Butler from DPW to
16	answer questions on this item.
17	MR. BUTLER: Good afternoon. John
18	Butler, DPW.
19	This is actually just the surplus of the
20	amount that we are required by New York State Law
21	to submit for station maintenance. There was an
22	amount that was leftover. The leftover amount we
23	are using to do some additional traffic signal
24	work. So there is no additional cost here, it's
25	just moving from a surplus to an area of need.

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. I'm having
3	trouble hearing you, but I think I heard you.
4	Thanks.
5	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you, Mr.
6	Butler.
7	Any other questions?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	Seeing no other questions, we have to
10	take a vote on the amendment. All in favor of
11	the amendment signify by saying aye.
12	(Aye.)
13	Those opposed?
14	(No verbal response.)
15	The item is amended.
16	Any questions on the item as amended?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Any public comments?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	All in favor of the item, as amended,
21	signify by saying aye.
22	(Aye.)
23	Those opposed?
24	(No verbal response.)
25	The item, as amended, passes.

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	Items 423, 424, and 425-2013, which are
3	all ordinances supplemental to the annual
4	appropriation ordinance in connection with the
5	Department of Human Services.
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
7	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
9	Walker, seconded by Legislator Venditto.
10	Any questions or comments on this item?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Any public comments?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	All in favor signify by saying aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Those opposed?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Those item carry unanimously.
19	Item 426-2013 is a resolution authorizing
20	the county executive to execute a grant agreement
21	between the County of Nassau, acting on behalf of
22	the County Department of Parks, and the
23	Agricultural Society of Queens.
24	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.
25	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
3	Muscarella, seconded by Legislator Walker.
4	Any questions on this item?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	This is the Long Island Fair, I believe.
7	This is hotel/motel monies.
8	Any public comments?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	All in favor signify by saying aye.
11	(Aye.)
12	Those opposed?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	That item carries unanimously.
15	Item 432-2013 is an - let me back up.
16	Item 432-2013, 433-2013, 435-2013, 436-2013, 437-
17	2013, and 438-2013 are all ordinances
18	supplemental to the annual appropriation
19	ordinance in connection with the Health
20	Department, Department of Probation, Department
21	of Toxicology, Office of the County Executive,
22	and Police Department.
23	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
24	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	
	Walker, seconded by Legislator Venditto.
3	Any questions on these items?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	Any public comment?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	All in favor signify by saying aye.
8	(Aye.)
9	Those items pass unanimously.
10	I'm going to take a motion to suspend the
11	rules.
12	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
15	Muscarella, seconded by Legislator Walker.
16	All in favor of suspending the rules
17	signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	Those opposed?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	The rules are suspended.
22	We have Items 440, 441, 442, 443, 444,
23	445, and 447 on the addendum, which are
24	resolutions to authorize the transfer of
25	appropriations heretofore made within the budget

. []	
1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13
2	for the year 2013; and 445 and 447 are ordinances
3	supplemental to the annual appropriation
4	ordinance in connection with the Police
5	Department and Department of Public Works.
6	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: So moved.
7	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by Legislator
9	Venditto, seconded by Legislator Walker.
10	Any questions on these items?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Hearing no questions, any public comment?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I do have a
15	question. I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Which item or
17	items?
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: 440.
19	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. Item 440,
20	Mr. May, is anyone here to speak about this?
21	MR. MAY: Yes. There is. We have First
22	Deputy Commissioner Tom Krumpter. I have mangled
23	his title, I apologize.
24	MR. KRUMPTER: Good afternoon. Before
25	you today is a board transfer for \$12 million on

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Some of it is the overflow of Hurricane Sandy. What happened was Hurricane Sandy had virtually the vast

23

24

25

majority of the department working significant amount of overtime to the tune of probably somewhere in the neighborhood of \$18 million in the months of November and December and the end of October. What happened was a lot of people that were scheduled off, time was cancelled in the headquarters' divisions. They ended up taking additional time.

The other piece of this is in light of the incident in Boston, we have revamped our security. A lot of that type of overtime, specifically related to the Long Island Marathon and other major events that we've had, hits on those lines.

Additionally, detective division overtime is up for a myriad of reasons. There's been a significant amount of increase and activity in the narcotics unit and in the precinct squads, arrests in investigative overtime is up significantly.

It's a mixed bag. What it's not attributable to is the district side of the overtime, which is slightly up but not nearly as much as the headquarters side. District is more

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

that are there.

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

easy to put your finger on, and that's specifically related to light roll call overtime; we're approximately 130, 140 head below where we really need to be in order to manage that overtime. We are in the process of getting a class ready. With the pending contract with the PBA, we will have - once that issue is resolved we will have 150 people ready to go, at a minimum, and that's off the current list. new list was just signed off on the Department of Justice and we are starting to prepare that.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. Legislator Denenberg.

we have a six month extension on the old list and

we have several hundred people, about 230 people

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Now, you said with the pending contract you expect that we'll have 150 new hires in 2014, I would assume.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: No. We're ready We'll be able to pull the trigger within to go. two weeks of that issue being resolved; whether the contract is ultimately approved or rejected, they will have 150 people ready to go.

The issue is under the contract those 150 people will save the county, over eight years, \$45 million. There is significant savings baked into that contract for new hires. We're just holding off. There will come a point in time where we will have to hire because you really are going to need those people by next summer. If we don't, we're going to be in the same position that we are in right now.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the reason for overtime being as high as we're seeing - there are some projections that it would be \$63 million by year's end is because of headcount being as low as it is.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yeah. When we did the precinct consolidation the county was designed to be staffed at a little over 2300 police officers. In essence, where we're at now is we're at 2206, but included in that number are 35 members that are assigned to the police academy in recruit training. Because we're gearing up for a major academy, we have 25, 26 members assigned to the investigations unit. We had, prior to this buildup we had eight or nine

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yes, it is.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: This transfer is for headquarters.

25 COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yep.

23

24

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So why is the overtime in headquarters so high? As you said, this isn't the district side, which to me would matter consolidation, whether we had enough officers. 150 people ready to go, as you say, hopefully could drive down the overtime on the districts side that we don't see a total of 63 million. But this, I'm looking at 12 million almost -- or, as you said, directed towards police headquarters.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: And that, like I said, was for two pieces. One, there was a significant amount of termination pay that hit the line prior to the bond ordinances paid — was passed. As a result of that, that money, we can't use the bond ordinance for that money. We have to pay for that out of the operating funds now. You can't go back and capture that. So there's a shortfall in that.

The second piece of it is, you hit the nail on the head, is related to overtime in the headquarters side. And that's attributable --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Why -- I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Well, what that

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

profile investigations.

is attributed to is several items. One is that that investigative overtime in the detective division, even though crime is down in the county, the nature of investigations are more complex this year and that's resulted in an increase in increased investigative overtime specifically within the precinct squads and narcotics, and homicide. We had some very high

When you have a major incident that could drive -- I'll give you an example. We had the shooting in -- over by the Roosevelt Field Mall last week, and that resulted probably -- we don't have the final numbers in on that. But that cost of that investigation with an incident like that was several hundred thousand dollars. So when you have high profile incidents, they end up costing a significant amount of money to conduct those investigations with all the units that are required.

The other piece --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But --

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: The other piece of it is, there's a second piece, is that in

light of Sandy we had some additional overtime that was unanticipated; obviously, we would hope not to occur again this year. As a result of people taking off a significant amount of time and focusing their energies on dealing with Sandy, that had a backlog that resulted in overtime in the first quarter of the year.

The third piece of it is the, you know, just when we have an event such as the Boston, our risk assessment models change dramatically. Where that overtime hits, in order to increase the staffing, a big chunk of that overtime is going to hit on the headquarters side. Whether it's investigative overtime for special investigation squad or tactical field services, which is bureau of special operations, highway patrol, they end up shouldering a brunt of that type of detail.

So those are the reasons that we have increased overtime this year. It is something that we pay a significant amount of attention in the police department.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, things like you had mentioned, like the Long Island Marathon

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

or even big events where crime happens, I would assume every year there's going to be a Long Island Marathon or some events that could drive up overtime, so you would think that's why you always budget some overtime.

I'm just concerned about such a big transfer. Even if ten and a half is for termination, we just bonded 30 million for termination. So why did we bond if we have to pay ten and a half?

ten and a half for termination; it's ten and a half for termination and for overtime combined. Any termination check that was cut before the bond termination that was actually paid must be covered out of the operating budget. You can't use the bond for that termination pay.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Then did we need to bond for the full 30 million then? It doesn't sound like we should have.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: We absolutely need to bond for that. The total we are in for the county for this year is over \$18 million for termination pay right now is what we have paid or

is pending at this point in time.

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But we just bonded 30 million and we're saying that at least part of ten and a half million has to come from here, so I'm confused as to why.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: You're going to see -- and like we said over and over, you're going to see a significant amount of separations in this department of the next three years. We are -- every day there are people coming in making appointments. Several times a week we are receiving people that are putting in their papers to retire.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is any of this 12 million -- you just said that some of this in the first quarter might still be Sandy related. Last year we had \$64 - 14 million or 15 million was Sandy related.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yep.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Of the 64 million in overtime. This year, according to the Office of Legislative Budget Review, we're going to be at about 63 million --

COMMISSIONER KRUMTPER: That's a

Finance Committee - 10-7-13 2

projection which doesn't take into consideration

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let me finish.

I've been patient. Come on, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Excuse me.

Sorry.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thanks.

So if we come in at 63, virtually none of that was anticipated to be Sandy related or reimbursable. You're telling me that some of what we incurred in the first quarter this year could be Sandy related. What have we put in, in terms of reimbursement? Of this 12 million have we put in for any of it for Sandy recovery?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: It's indirect from Sandy. It's not items that we can seek reimbursement on because it's not directly related to Sandy. What happens is when detectives and units are focused on dealing with Sandy, there's built up residual work that they have to address once they're done dealing with Sandy. So what happens is rather than that being dealt with last November/December, they're taking off more time, which results in some other light

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

roll call overtime, and then on top of that they have investigative overtime that they have to catch up on the cases that they didn't complete the investigations on.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we can't put in -- none of the overtime that we're looking at in this transfer is going to be FEMA reimbursable then.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I wouldn't say none. There is some overtime in January that we will be putting in for FEMA in the headquarters side, but it's not a material amount.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm concerned a bit on the RMS system that's been — the computer system.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: So am I.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm hearing rumors that that's been driving up overtime because of difficultly using that operational system as opposed to the predecessor system. And I also heard, and I could be wrong, that we're moving away from it. Has RMS driven up overtime, both in headquarters and district?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I'm going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

honestly tell you, while I can tell you exactly how long it takes to process an arrest on the RMS, I have no way of knowing how long it takes to process an arrest on the Legacy system. right now it's taking approximately just around three hours, on average, to process the arrest. We are looking at other systems for a myriad of reasons. Part of them is this system is extremely difficult to use for a portion of the department that does not use the system on a regular basis, and the other part of it -- that's The other part of it is in 2015 the big part. this system is based on a software called FoxPro. After 2015 Microsoft will no longer support that software. So at this point in time, the Department has spent a lot of time this year making sure that whatever system we look at or go to in the future is a system that is appropriate and is easily is easily used by the members.

We had a focus group with the members of the PBA that have been very involved in the committee, looking at one software on Friday, and this week, on Thursday, they're looking at another software that we're looking at. So we're

working with the unions and we are focusing on a system that is more simple for a records management system and then we will put an analytical tool above that.

This particular system was a system that was a rules based system designed for the specific municipality. It became overly complex for the average user to use.

As far as --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: My concern is -COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: running up
overtime, there is nothing that indicates that
system, in and of itself, is running up overtime.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, based on what you just told me, we transferred over to RMS, or tried to at least in part, spent a lot of money on it. I would like to know how much we spent in total on RMS. And if we are now moving away from it, that's really something that we should all know about because we just recently purchased that system.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: We didn't just recently purchase that system, Legislator Denemberg. That system was purchased six years

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Legislator Denemberg, let me make this very clear. The

drive up our overtime.

23

24

25

chief of the department and myself have
personally been working on this system to try to
make it work because we recognize the very same
thing that you recognize; Nassau County invested
a significant amount of money in this system and
to walk away without putting the best effort to
try to make this system work would not have been
in the best interest of the system. At the point
we currently are at, it's my opinion, as well as
the chief of the department's opinion, is that
we've gotten this system to work as well as we're
going to get it to work. There are just inherent
issues with this system.

We were very conscious that the county invested a lot of money in this system six years ago. It was neither myself nor anybody currently in this police department that actually purchased this system. So what we were left with was a system that was paid for, that we owned, that really we have to get away from the Legacy System. The Legacy System is problematic. And if you recall, my testimony was that we had no real way of knowing how long it takes to do an arrest because the system doesn't audit in that

Finance Committee - 10-7-13 way.

We estimate that an average arrest will take an hour and a half. We also said there are arrests that will take more than three hours.

This system is different in that the RMS system actually do the case report and the arrest report together, where the Legacy System, the police officers are just doing the arrest report. So, you're comparing, really, apples and oranges.

One you do both parts of an arrest, that the newer system, and the older system, all that you're doing is the arrest system, the case is actually called in. So we've made a significant number of steps to improve the system to make it work until we can either identify a new system or go forward from there.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Commissioner, thank you for your time. This is - a \$12 million transfer, to me, is a significant transfer.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Absolutely is.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: To me, it shows, once again, overtime is coming in significantly over budget and this is the third straight year.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: The third

Finance Committee - 10-7-1334 1 2 straight year? 3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Where overtime 4 has come in significant over budget. 5 COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: You're talking 6 about last year where we received reimbursement 7 that dropped the overtime below \$50 million? 8 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We budgeted 22; 9 it came in at 49 --10 COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Legislator, you are fully aware that there was a contingency fund 11 12 that was set up for overtime that was offline, 13 specifically targeting the overtime. LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: 14 That's still -15 we're talking about three of the highest years in 16 a row ever --COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: That's 17 18 absolutely not the case. 19 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That is the case. 20 COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Legislator 21 Denenberg, last year and this year we have less 22 hours than we did six, seven years ago where the 23 overtime was \$62 million. It today's dollars 24 that was over \$70 million. The department has

aggressively managed overtime and has brought the

25

hours to aggressive lows.

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

We're operating a police department with 2200 police officers. And to sit there and say that overtime is up, yeah, it is up and that is because we're operating a department with 2200 police officers.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I think you budgeted 42 million this year.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yes, it was 42 million.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And we're going to come in at 63. I'm just not going to compliment you on that.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I'm not saying you should compliment me. But I think you need to look at the causes rather than criticizing it from --

is a department that has been allowed to go
through attrition to very, very low numbers. I
think that the cause was a consolidation that's a
failure. I think that the consolidation came in
and we kept being told we're saving \$22 million -

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: By your own admission, Legislator Denenberg --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let me finish now. And instead of saving \$20 million, it's cost us a tremendous amount in overtime, and that's not even to look at the cost of -- I get to look at a trailer at the Seventh Precinct every single morning that we're not even using because we were going to consolidate the First into the Seventh and that required buying trailers. So we're paying for a trailer that we're not using. And I would question whether it's ever been smart in the Second and the Sixth or the Fourth and Fifth to have consolidation where people are working out of trailers that the taxpayers are paying for.

But the bottom line is this is a \$12 million transfer and my concern is that overtime is this high. My concern also is with an RMS System, which I could agree with you that we had to go away from the Legacy System, and that we've been working for six years, it sounds like, or at least four trying to make this RMS System work. We gotta get to the bottom of it because I think

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

that's driving up overtime, as well. That's my concern. And I'm a legislator; I'm going to ask the questions because I'm trying to understand why we're spending so much taxpayer money on overtime.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: And there's a projection -- let's start with a projection.

There is a projection of 62, \$63 million. There is another projection at \$60 million in this

County, and there is another project that 58 to

60. So you have three projections, and we're going to see how it plays out over the next three or four months. That's the first thing.

By your own admission, you have stated in this that the overtime related to headquarters doesn't logically have anything to do, in this particular board transfer it doesn't have anything to do with the precinct consolidation.

This is the headquarters --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You said that.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: fund. No. You said that you would expect the overtime to be on the other side.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Because you said

Finance Committee -10-7-13 on the district side.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Right. So I was going with what you said.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Okay.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So there
headquarters, the commissioner's headquarters, I
don't know how you're going to expect officers
and commanding officers, and the districts to
stay within budget if headquarters can't. To me,
that would just go right to the commissioner on
that. But I'm not asking any questions on that.
You said its headquarters. The commissioner's
office has not stayed within this budget, and
that's what this transfer is about. On the
district side, I guess we'll wait until that
transfer comes up.

Where are we getting this money from, this \$12 million?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Debt service charge backs, contingency reserves, \$500,000, and fringe benefits. Due to attrition the fringe is coming in less. I can speak to the contingency reserve was actually put there for any overages.

As far as the debt service charge backs, you're going to have to get that answer from OMB.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. I have a question, Rich Nicolello, on 442, which I don't think has anything to do with Commissioner Krumpter.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Commissioner, the RMS System you said was purchased six years ago?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: Yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. And how much did we spend on that?

the exact number. It was purchased with your CAD System. The CAD System went up pretty quickly and is operating fine. RMS, its intergraph was the company, and a portion of that was paid for out of a grant. But we have actually spent a significant amount of money training our people on this system. So I would actually have to get you those numbers. It's several million dollars.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. You're looking at making a determination as to what to do going forward?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: That's, in

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

essence, what we've been working on going forward. The system is overly complex. If a police officer makes a significant number of arrests, they have no problem operating this system. It really comes down to over half the department that doesn't make multiple arrests every month or an arrest every month and goes several months between making an arrest, when they are called to use that system when they make an arrest it becomes, it's very complex if you don't use the system regularly.

So what we're looking to do at this point is that system has both your analytical tools in it and it also has your records management portion of it. It doesn't interface well. It's a one-way interface where it will take information in but it doesn't really push information out. So the records management system we're looking at at this point is a more simple, a very basic records management tool, records management system by itself. We're going to look to do a layer above that, the analytical tools we need. It's really going to be a paradigm shift on how we look at software in this

Finance Committee - 10-7-13 department.

Like I've said, the chief of the department and myself and the commissioner have personally been very involved in this process over the last several months, and we expect in the next three or four weeks that we'll have recommendations that we'll forward to the county executive's office.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. That was my next question.

You also mentioned something about a focus group involving the officers that have actually been using the system.

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: As a matter of fact, we did a focus group. Right now we're looking at two record management systems; one is manufactured by a company called - the two systems that are the lead contenders, if you would, one is made by Motorola and one is made by a company called Timeron and it was actually deployed in Long Beach. So as we are speaking right now, there is a focus group with the village chiefs. Rather than having 18, 19 record management systems in the county, we're looking

\_

- -

top of that, we've set up focus group with members of the PBA, the SOA, the DAI to get their input. On both systems, on the 14th of this month, will be sending a group of police officers for the one system, total, to absolutely test the system. We're going to train them and see what kind of feedback we get for users of the system.

everybody can use. So right now the chief of the

department, I was supposed to be at that meeting

at a single record management system that

- I'm here - is sitting with a focus group.

The idea here is to design a system, to build a system that is not only better than the system we're currently using but better than the prior system. It's about getting the right system. And then above that, we'll actually put the analytical tools above that. We have a company that we're looking at for that, and we're pretty much where we gotta be for that. We're just waiting for the records management piece.

CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Do you know if the prior administration employed a similarly labroid system to get input before purchasing RMS?

COMMISSIONER KRUMPTER: I wasn't

Finance Committee - 10-7-1344 1 2 MS. D'ALLEVA: That's correct. 3 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Are our public 4 works and parks departments represented in this? MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes. Public works and 5 6 parks departments are represented in this, and 7 some of that has to do with, in terms of -- as 8 well, as people worked an extreme amount of time 9 during Sandy and they are taking a lot of time, 10 in terms of leave time so, therefore, overtime is 11 up. Seasonals, to fund seasonal is also up. So, 12 therefore, you know, there are some salary 13 shortfalls projected. 14 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any of the public 15 works or park department shortfalls or expenses FEMA reimbursable? 16 17 MS. D'ALLEVA: At this time we've 18 already projected those reimbursements. CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. What does 19 that mean? 20 21 MS. D'ALLEVA: We've projected those 22 reimbursements so this would be net of that. 23 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. Legislator

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.

24

25

Denenberg.

Ms. D'Alleva, the transfer here that I'm looking at would be for public works and for parks, correct?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Well, there are several other departments on the transfer list.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I see 1.7 - I'm sorry - you're right. 1.75 million for public works and 1.13 million for parks is what I'm looking at.

MS. D'ALLEVA: That's correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Now, I just want to address the public works 1.75 and the parks 1.1.

When I was looking at the OMB report, the latest report we had for salaries within public works was 27.972 being the adopted budget and the July projections at that time, and this was done in July, was 26.98, so we were projecting a \$992,000 overage. Why do we need to transfer money in if the projection is that we would come in within budget?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Our September report will basically show that we're reprojecting. Actually DPW and Parks has done an extraordinary effort to

Finance Committee - 10-7-13

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

2 | basically -- at this point in time we hadn't

3 determined how much was Sandy related and how

4 | much wasn't. Now, at this point in time, we have

5 determined and cleaned up as much as we possibly

6 could project out to Sandy and how much actually

7  $\parallel$  has to be absorbed within the general fund.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the \$992,000 variance that we were still reporting in August is not accurate anymore? We're going to have an underage and we think the underage is going to be 1.75 million?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes. There are several reasons for that. There is also capital back charges. Due to a delay in borrowing staffing couldn't be back charged to capital, in addition to larger than normal leave time taken.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And then the parks salary, 1.13, we had a variance, an overage that was being predicted in August; that's also been revised?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we think we're going to be 1.13 low and that's why we need to do this transfer now?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes. Basically, the season's over in terms of the high season in terms of overtime during, you know, the summer. We've re-projected for September.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Just those two items together, all set and told this ends up being 6.145 million. Am I reading that right?

MS. D'ALLEVA: That's correct.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How does that affect our projected surplus?

MS. D'ALLEVA: Our projected surplus, I believe we're still in the range of \$10 million. There are other surpluses and variations to the budget that are going to be addressed in the September projection.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: In what lines is that?

MS. D'ALLEVA: I believe sales tax will be an additional five million. So we are projecting a \$15 million surplus rather than a ten.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: If we're at ten and then we have to transfer 6.1 that would take us down to four. If sales tax comes in another

Finance Committee - 10-7-1350 1 2 about 40 percent, 35 percent, 40 percent, and 3 it's almost a year later. 4 MS. D'ALLEVA: But it all goes on, 5 again, the approval of the project worksheets and 6 then the final reimbursement from the Feds. 7 they approve the project worksheet but then they 8 don't immediately reimburse you. There's a 9 number of queues that the project worksheet 10 actually goes through before you get reimbursement. 11 12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And that's 13 pretty much in line with how we handled Irene and everything else? 14 15 MS. D'ALLEVA: Yes. 16 LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: However, in 17 those instances within a year we had a pretty --MS. D'ALLEVA: No. Absolutely not. 18 19 With Irene I believe it took almost 18 months to 20 be fully reimbursed. And this is a much larger 21 storm. 22 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I know.

But I'm just saying if it's only 40 percent, I
was hearing within a year we'd be reimbursed.

MS. D'ALLEVA: That's what we're hoping

23

24

25

Finance Committee - 10-7-13 for.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: At this point, right now the average is probably around 40 percent reimbursement. I'm wondering why -- I understand that some of the timecards haven't been approved until now. But have you been notified of any other issues that the federal government is looking into?

MS. D'ALLEVA: No. I think the communication between the county and FEMA personnel, state and FEMA personnel is an open dialogue. We have very good communication with them.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So you don't feel that specific items are being questioned?

MS. D'ALLEVA: No. There's a continuing back and forth. They've been given all invoices, everything for project worksheets and all the, you know, what they need to make their decisions.

LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So out of \$130 million that was spent already, the county paid out, and we've been reimbursed about 40 percent, so let's say conservatively that's like

already accrued for those expenditures.

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right.

MS. D'ALLEVA: Actually, I believe it

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13 53		
2	was like \$114 million of it.		
3	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: With the		
4	idea that it was temporary and it was going to by		
5	FEMA.		
6	MS. D'ALLEVA: That's correct.		
7	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So now that		
8	it hasn't for another whole year, how do you, in		
9	an accounting way, show that?		
10	MS. D'ALLEVA: It's just through cash		
11	flow. We have the cash flow. We've been		
12	covering it through cash flow.		
13	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: So there's		
14	\$140 million -		
15	MS. D'ALLEVA: You have to remember we		
16	have received \$52 million worth.		
17	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: I		
18	understand that. Which is about 30 or 40		
19	percent.		
20	Basically, my point is a year later we		
21	still have only receive about 40 percent.		
22	Thank you.		
23	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other questions		
24	on Item 442?		
25	(No verbal response.)		

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13 54		
2	Thank you, Ms. D'Alleva.		
3	MS. D'ALLEVA: Thank you.		
4	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any public comment?		
5	(No verbal response.)		
6	Legislator Denenberg, do you want any of		
7	these called individually or can I call them as a		
8	group?		
9	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You can call them		
10	as a group.		
11	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay. We will call		
12	all these items for a vote.		
13	All those in favor signify by saying aye.		
14	(Aye.)		
15	Those opposed?		
16	(No verbal response.)		
17	The items carry unanimously.		
18	A motion from Legislator Muscarella to		
19	adjourn.		
20	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.		
21	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Seconded by		
22	Legislator Walker.		
23	All in favor of adjourning signify by		
24	saying aye.		
25	(Aye.)		

1	Finance Committee - 10-7-13	55
2	Those opposed?	
3	(No verbal response.)	
4	This committee is in adjournment.	
5	(Whereupon, the Finance Committee	
6	adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## $C \quad E \quad R \quad T \quad I \quad F \quad I \quad C \quad A \quad T \quad E$

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 14th day of October, 2013.

FRANK GRAY